November 15, 1995. Reconsideration of the application view of this amendment is respectfully asked.

Enclosed herewith is a letter to the drawing view branch submitting a sheet of formal drawings, overcoming the objections raised in the Form PTO 948 notice attached to paper no. 2.

Claims 4-6 and 17, which have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. \$112 as indefinite, have been cancelled.

Claim 6, which recites a retaining member which is "generally bowl-shaped, being convex toward said magnet", has not been rejected on the basis of the prior art and, therefore, the subject matter of that claim has been indicated to be allowable.

Claims 1, 3-5, 9-15 and 17-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103 as being unpatentable over Parsons et al. in view of Clark and Miller, all of record. Claims 2 and 16 are rejected on the basis of the same art, and further in view of Dickson et al., of record, while claims 7 and 8 are rejected on the same art and further in view of Gooley et al., of record.

Claims 4-6, 13, 17, 18 and 21 have been cancelled.

Independent claim 1 has been amended to incorporate therein the subject matter of claim 6 by reciting:

"said retaining member being generally bowl-shaped and convex toward said magnet..."

As thus amended, claim 1 is effectively an independent form of claim 6, which was indicated to be allowable.

Independent claim 15, which includes all of the limitations of claim 1, has similarly been amended to add thereto the subject matter of claim 6.

Accordingly, it is submitted that, as thus amended, claims 1 and 15 and the claims 2, 3, 7-12, 14, 16, 19 and 20 dependent thereon are prima facie in condition for allowance.

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully requested that this amendment be entered and that the application be allowed.

Respectfully submitted,

Emrich & Dithmar Attorneys for Applicants Suite 3000 300 South Wacker Drive Chicago, Illinois 60606 Telephone 312-663-9800

BV

4